

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,613	10/29/2003	Gerhard Kastenhofer	1001.1291103	9625
28075 7590 08/08/2007 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3763	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,613	KASTENHOFER, GERHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quynh-Nhu H. Vu	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply		the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. If you be timely filed If som the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 29 October 2003.					
,	☐ This action is non-final.	•				
· <u> </u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-21</u> is/are pending in the app	blication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/16/04.	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/697,613

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in 10/697,613 on 10/29/2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 10/697,613 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an application filed in 10/697,613 on 10/29/2003. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

Therefore, the oldest date is considered as 04/26/1996 but not the date 10/27/1993.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boussignac et al. (US 5,378,237) in view of Mueller (US 4,948,643).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,613

Art Unit: 3763

Boussignac discloses, in Figs. 10-11, a catheter comprising: a fist catheter tube having at least two superposed layers of material, including an inner layer 1, and an outer layer 5, secured together with a mediator layer 29, a lumen in the first catheter tube, and a balloon 2 sealing surrounding the first catheter tube, whereby the inner layer 1 comprises a polyethylene (col. 3, lines 34-39), the outer layer 5 comprises a polymer (col. 5, lines 5-7), and forms an outer surfaces of the first catheter tube; a second tube 7 disposed on the first catheter tube.

Boussignac does not teach, however, a mediator layer comprises low-density polyethylene.

Mueller discloses medical tubing having three layers, inner layer 26 comprises high-density polyethylene (col. 3, line 58- col. 4, line 8); mediator layer 24 having comprises low-density polyethylene (col. 3, lines 30-39); outer layer 22 is a polymer. Therefore, in view of teachings, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the Boussignac device with the multilayer as taught by Mueller, in order to provide a tubing suitable for use with medical solution packaging, the film tubing having good flexibility.

Regarding claim 13, Boussignac and Mueller, disclose as applied in prior rejection of claims above, all claimed subject matter except the polymer outer layer comprises polyamide. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to of polyamide material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,613

Art Unit: 3763

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11, 13-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,977. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a catheter device of instant claims are fully disclosed and covered by the U.S Patent No. 6,659,977.

Claims 11-13, 16-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5 and 7 of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,613 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

6,319,228. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a catheter device of instant claims are fully disclosed and covered by the U.S Patent No. 6,319,228.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh-Nhu H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

QNV

NICHOLOGY CENTER 3700
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

MICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700